1	Jeffrey D. Kaliel (SBN 238293) KALIELGOLD PLLC	
2	jkaliel@kalielgold.com 1100 15th Street NW, 4th Floor	
3	Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 350-4783	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Maureen Harrold and the Class	
7		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGE	LES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
9	MAUREEN HARROLD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. BC680214
10	Plaintiff,	(Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable Yvette M. Palazuelos, Dept. 9)
11	V.	DECLARATION OF JEFFREY D.
12	v.	KALIEL IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED
13	MUFG UNION BANK, N.A.,	MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND INCENTIVE AWARD
14	Defendant.	Date: July 25, 2024
15		Time: 10:00 a.m.
16		Complaint Filed: October 19, 2017
17		Amended Complaint Filed: July 29, 2020 Trial Date: None Set
18		That Date. None Set
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

26

27

28

<u>DECLARATION OF JEFFREY D. KALIEL IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION</u> <u>FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND INCENTIVE AWARD</u>

I, Jeffrey D. Kaliel, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in California and Washington, DC, and in appellate and district courts across the country. I am a partner at KalielGold PLLC. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Incentive Award. I have personal knowledge of the following, except where stated upon information and belief, and if sworn as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. KalielGold PLLC was founded in 2017 and is a 100% contingency Plaintiff-side law firm. Our attorneys have decades of combined experience and have secured hundreds of millions of dollars for their clients. Our firm's practice focuses on representing consumers in class action litigation and specifically on cases in the consumer financial services sector. In the four years since our firm was founded, our firm has been appointed lead counsel or co-lead counsel in numerous class action and putative class action lawsuits in state and federal courts nationwide including most recently in Roberts v. Capital One, No. 1:16-cv-04841 (S.D.N.Y.); Walters v. Target Corp., No. 3:16-ev-00492 (S.D. Cal.); Robinson v. First Hawaiian Bank, Civil No.17-1-0167-01-GWBC (1st Cir. Haw.); Liggio v. Apple Federal Credit Union, No. 18-cv-01059 (E.D. Va.); Morris et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., No. 3:18-cv-00157- RJC-DSC (W.D.N.C.); Brooks et al. v. Canvas Credit Union, 2019CV30516 (Dist. Ct. for Denver Cnty., Colo.); Figueroa v. Capital One, N.A., Case No. 3:18-cv-00692-JM-BGS (S.D. Cal.); White v. Members 1st Credit Union, Case No. 1:19-cv-00556-JEJ (M.D. Pa.); Plummer v. Centra Credit Union, Case No. 03D01-1804-PL-001903 (Cnty. Of Bartholomew, Ind.); Holt v. Community America Credit Union, Case No. 4:19-cv-00629-FJG (W.D. Mo.); Trinity Management v. Charles Puckett, Case No. GCG-17-558960 (Super. Ct., San Francisco Cnty, Cal.); Martin v. L&N Federal Credit Union, No. 19-CI-022873 (Jefferson Cir. Ct., Div. One); Clark v. Hills Bank and Trust Company, No. LACV080753 (Iowa Dist. Ct. Johnson Cnty.); Morris v. Provident Credit Union, Case No. CGC-19-581616 (Super. Ct., San Francisco Cnty., Cal.).
- 3. KalielGold PLLC is well versed in class action litigation and zealously advocates for its clients.
 - 4. The \$5,000,000.00 recovery is in my opinion an excellent and favorable result given

the complexity of the litigation. Based on Plaintiff's expert data analysis, the Settlement Class's most likely recoverable damages at trial would have been approximately \$13.3 million. The Settlement will afford Plaintiff and the Settlement Class a recovery of approximately 37% of their most probable damages. The Settlement will provide Settlement Class Members with substantial relief that is well within the range of reasonable recovery in this Circuit in light of the many continued litigation risks and challenges such as Defendant's arbitration clause arguments, Motion for Judgement on the Pleadings, and certification.

- 5. Class Counsel entered into a fee sharing arrangement among their firms that is intended in part to reflect each firm's relative contribution to the investigation, development, litigation, and settlement of this class action lawsuit. Specifically, as already disclosed to the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval, under the Joint Prosecution Agreement among the firms, which Plaintiff approved, the McCune Law Group and The Kick Law Firm, APC will collectively receive 25% of the total attorneys' fees or their relative lodestar, whichever is greater; Tycko and Zavareei LLP and Kopelowitz Ostrow P.A. will each receive 40% of the remainder of the attorneys' fees; and KalielGold PLLC would receive the final 20% of the attorneys' fees. This fee division was entered into via written agreement to which Plaintiff has consented in writing, and the total fee has not increased solely by reason of this agreement, as required by California Rule of Professional Conduct 1.5.1.
- 6. KalielGold PLLC undertook this case on a contingent basis, with the understanding that the firm would not be compensated at all for any of its efforts unless the case was successful. The time spent on this matter has required considerable labor that could have, and would have, been spent on other fee generating matters.
- 7. Class Counsel has agreed not to apply for attorneys' fees of more than one-third of the Settlement. Here, the Settlement is comprised of \$5,000,000.00. Accordingly, Class Counsel's total overall fee request amounts to \$1,666,500.00.
- 8. I helped originate this matter—including performing case investigation, reviewing bank statements, and drafting the complaint—while I was with my former law firm and co-counsel in this matter, Tycko & Zavareei.
 - 9. My current firm, KalielGold PLLC has continued to work on this matter. Together

with time I spent at my former firm and my current firm, I have spent a total of 63.3 hours on this litigation, totaling \$55,577.40 in fees. My partner at KalielGold PLLC, Sophia Gold, has also spent 9.5 hours on this litigation, totaling \$7,381.50 in fees.

- 10. To date, I have worked **63.3** hours on this case, and my work in this case was billed at \$878.00 per hour. I earned my law degree from Yale Law School in 2005. I graduated from Amherst College *summa cum laude* in 2000 with a degree in Political Science, and spent one year studying Philosophy at Cambridge University, England. Over the last several decades, I've achieved substantial class action experience. I received "Washington D.C. Rising Stars Super Lawyers 2015" recognition. Further, I have been appointed lead Class Counsel in numerous nationwide and state-specific class actions. In those cases, I've won contested class certification motions, defended dispositive motions, engaged in data-intensive discovery and worked extensively with economics and information technology experts to build damages models. I've also successfully resolved numerous class actions by settlement, resulting in hundreds of millions of dollars in relief for millions of class members. I am currently actively litigating several national class action cases, including numerous actions against financial services entities and other entities involved in predatory lending and financial services targeting America's most vulnerable populations.
- 11. Another attorney who worked on this case is Sophia G. Gold. Ms. Gold worked a total of 9.5 hours on this case, and her work on this case was billed at \$777.00 per hour. Ms. Gold is a third-generation Plaintiffs' lawyer. A *summa cum laude* graduate of Wake Forest University and the University of California, Berkeley, School of Law, she has spent her entire career fighting for justice. Ms. Gold's practice centers around taking on financial institutions, insurance companies, and other large corporate interests. Ms. Gold has participated in hundreds of individual and class cases in both state and federal courts across the country. Collectively, she has helped secure tens of millions of dollars in relief on behalf of the classes she represents. Ms. Gold is currently representing consumers in numerous cases involving the assessment of improper fees by banks and credit unions, such as overdraft fees, insufficient funds fees, and out of network ATM fees. She is also currently representing consumers who have been the victims of unfair and deceptive business practices. Ms. Gold is

admitted to practice in California and Washington, D.C.

12. The following is a chart of the attorneys from KalielGold PLLC seeking compensation for legal services in connection with this litigation, the hours each individual worked on the case, and the lodestar based on that timekeepers' current hourly rate:

Timekeeper	Position	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Jeffrey D. Kaliel	Partner	63.3	\$878.00	\$55,577.40
Sophia G. Gold	Partner	9.5	\$777.00	\$7,381.50
Total		72.8		\$62,958.90

- 13. A more detailed breakdown of KalielGold PLLC's lodestar in this matter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.**
- 14. Class Counsel's lodestar based on reasonable hours worked at the prevailing market rates amounts to \$62,958.90. Accordingly, the lodestar multiplier here is around 3.97, which is within the range of approval. *See Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.* (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 224, 255).
- Group and The Kick Law Firm, APC will collectively receive 25% of the total attorneys' fees or their relative lodestar, whichever is greater; Tycko and Zavareei LLP and Kopelowitz Ostrow P.A. will each receive 40% of the remainder of the attorneys' fees; and KalielGold PLLC will receive the final 20% of the attorneys' fees. As indicated above, KalielGold PLLC's lodestar amounts to \$62,958.90. Therefore, the firm would receive the final 20% of \$1,666,500.00, which is \$249,975.00, assuming the full fee award is granted. The accompanying Motion argues the total fee awarded should be one-third, pursuant to the percentage of recovery, and if the Court were to conduct a lodestar crosscheck it should conduct such an analysis as to Class Counsel as a whole.
- 16. Class Counsel also seek reimbursement of the reasonable expenses incurred in the prosecution of this action. The following is a breakdown of the expenses Class Counsel incurred to date, and for which they seek reimbursement in this matter:

Туре	Amount
Mediation Related Expenses	\$1,196.25

1	Total \$1,196.25
2	17. The foregoing expenses were incurred solely in connection with this litigation and
3	are reflected in Class Counsel's books and records as maintained in the ordinary course of
	business. The claimed expenses were incurred to retain the services of a preeminent mediator that
4	has assisted the parties successfully settling the case. Overall, Class Counsel has agreed to cap
5	total costs at \$60,458.10. (MPA Order). Because the costs and expenses are small relative to the
6	common fund amount, and are facially reasonable and necessary, the Court should award the
7	requested \$60,458.10 in costs and expenses. Moreover, if final costs are lower than \$60,458.10,
8	any remaining funds will remain in the settlement fund for distribution to the Class Members.
9	18. In my opinion, the proposed class representative, Maureen Harrold, was highly
10	important to the success of this case. Ms. Harrold provided essential information for the prosecution
11	of this action, made herself available for multiple phone calls at all stages of the litigation, reviewed
12	the complaint and first amended complaint before each was filed, gathered and provided pertinent
13	documents, and participated in discussions with Class Counsel regarding the settlement and
14	reviewed and approved the settlement documents. She spent approximately 75 hours helping Class
15	Counsel prosecute this case over the course of six years with no guarantee of any success or
16	recovery. A full recitation of Ms. Harrold's important, pro-active, and substantial role in this case is
17	documented in her declaration filed concurrently with this motion.
18	
19	I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that the
20	foregoing is true and correct.
21	
22	Executed this 1 st day of May 2024, at Washington, D.C.
23	/s/ Loffrey D. Kalial
24	<u>/s/ Jeffrey D. Kaliel</u> Jeffrey D. Kaliel
25	
26	

28

EXHIBIT 1

KalielGold PLLC Hours by Litigation Phase							
Category	Jeffrey	Sophia	[Insert	[Insert	[Insert	Total	
	Kaliel,	Gold,	Timekeeper 3	Timekeeper 4	Timekeeper 5	Hours	
	Attorney	Attorney	Name/Position]	Name/Position]	Name/Position]		
	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours		
Case							
Development,							
Background							
Investigation,							
and Case							
Administration	17						
In aliced and a seri	17						
Includes legal							
and factual research, review							
of relevant docs;							
other pre-suit							
tasks, etc.							
Finding Class							
Representative							
Representative							
Includes							
developing info to							
seek class rep,							
interviewing							
potential class	6.4						
reps; signing							
class rep, and							
review and							
assessment of							
class rep's							
specific							
information, etc.							
Strategy							
Development,							
Case Analysis,							
Class Counsel							
Conferences							
Includes startes	<i>(5</i>	2					
Includes strategy	6.5	2					
meetings							
internally at firm and with co-							
counsel							
throughout case,							
etc.							
eic.							

Pleadings					
Includes research, drafting, filing, etc.	17.2	3.5			
Settlement					
Includes drafting agreement, discussions between counsel related to settlement, tasks assigned by Court related to settlement, etc.	11	4			
Preliminary Approval Includes drafting motion,	2.2				
class notice, accompanying declarations, etc.					
Fee Petition Preparation	2				
Motion for Final Approval Preparation	1				
TOTAL	63.3	9.5			

Please include the hourly rate for each Timekeeper:

Name	Rate
Jeffrey Kaliel	878
Sophia Gold	777